

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/753,364	01/09/2004	Kunio Baba	04329.3219	6400
10/753,364 01/09/2004 Kunio Baba 22852 7590 05/08/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413	EXAMINER			
LLP	·	ow, ornacti a bowith	EXAMINER ULRICH, NICHOLAS S ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2173	
	, _ 0 _ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			
	•			<u> </u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/753,364	BABA ET AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nicholas S. Ulrich	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offi (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	Mailing or Transmission dated f month(s)) which expired on _	·	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejecti			,000.011.
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);		r
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not const final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		empt at a proper reply, to the n	on-
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.			
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-		the statutory period of three m	nonths
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, we), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85).	as received on (with a Certific period for payment of the issue fee (a	ate of Mailing or Transmissior nd publication fee) set in the N	n dated lotice of
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balan	ce of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has	not been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37).	quired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Notice of	
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra	nsmission dated), which	ı is
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by t the applicants.	he attorney or agent of record, the as	signee of the entire interest, or	all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting in a repre	sentative capacity under 37 CF	FR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed class.	erence rendered on and becau aims.	se the period for seeking court	review
7. 🛮 The reason(s) below:			
The examiner contacted Richard Burgujian, the re no response has been filed and the application sh	presentative of the applicant, on fould be abandoned.	May 3 rd , 2007 and confirmed	that
		JOHN CABECA	_
	SUPE	RVISORY PATENT EXAMINE	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to without minimize any negative effects on patent term.	draw the holding of abandonment under pr	CHROLOGYS GENTER PATH Filly fil	ied to
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	e of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 200	70503